Halide Abstraction from VCl₃ by ZnCl₂. Crystal Structure of VCl₃·ZnCl₂·4THF·2H₂O

KIRSTEN FOLTING,[†] JOHN C. HUFFMAN,[†] RICK L. BANSEMER,[†] KENNETH G. CAULTON,^{*†} JOEL L. MARTIN,[‡] and PAUL D. SMITH[‡]

Received March 28, 1984

Reaction of VCl₃ with ZnCl₂ in THF yields a material shown by elemental analysis, redox titration, infrared spectroscopy, and powder X-ray diffraction to be [trans-VCl₂(THF)₄][ZnCl₃(THF)], containing the tetrahedral anion ZnCl₃(THF)⁻. The crystal structure of a derived material, VCl₃-ZnCl₂-4THF-2H₂O, shows it to be composed of trans-VCl₂(THF)₂(H₂O)₂⁺, $ZnCl_3(THF)^-$, and THF, all of which are linked together in chains by hydrogen bonding from the four aquo hydrogens of the cation to the three chloro ligands on zinc as well as to the lattice THF oxygen. All coordinated THF molecules are found to be planar at oxygen, an effect that is responsible for the Zn-O distance found here being 0.1 Å shorter than in $ZnCl_3(THF)^-$ observed elsewhere. The crystal form is triclinic, $P\bar{l}$, with (-162 °C) a = 8.330 (3) Å, b = 20.222 (9) Å, c = 8.161 (2) Å, $\alpha = 98.50$ (2)°, $\beta = 97.82$ (2)°, $\gamma = 99.85$ (2)°, and Z = 2.

Introduction

The frequently dramatic and sometimes beneficial influence of electropositive metals on the olefin polymerization activity of early-transition-metal compounds is widely recognized but poorly understood.¹ Electropositive metals may be incorporated by reduction of an early-transition-metal halide with an aluminum, magnesium, or zinc alkyl or by reduction with the elemental electropositive metal. Alternatively, it is possible to simply add a halide or alkoxide of Al(III), Mg(II), or Zn(II) to a reduced transition-metal halide.

We have reported on the nature of bimetallic compounds produced in THF when (1) VCl₃ is reduced by metallic Zn^2 and (2) TiCl₃ is reacted with $ZnCl_2$.³ We have also noted³ that such reactions sometimes produce materials with direct (i.e. ligand-bridged) connection between the different metals but other times yield ionic solids in which the metals segregate themselves into ions of opposite charge. We continue our exploration of this topic here with an examination of the nonredox reaction of VCl₃ with ZnCl₂.

Experimental Section

General Considerations. Tetrahydrofuran was purified by refluxing with potassium metal or Na/K/benzophenone under nitrogen. All operations were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere, with use of either glovebox, Schlenk, or pressure bottle techniques. Anhydrous ZnCl₂ was obtained by the method of Pray,⁴ and anhydrous VCl₃ was commercial material. Powder patterns were recorded with Cu K α radiation on a Philips Norelco powder diffractometer equipped with a graphite monochromator.

Analysis. Vanadium(III) reduces Ag⁺ and thus interferes with the determination of chloride. Consequently, Volhard method chloride determinations were carried out on solutions that had first been air oxidized for several days. Vanadium oxidation-state determination was by reduction of excess KIO₃, followed by assay for unreduced iodate by iodometry. Zinc and vanadium were estimated by plasma emission.

VCl₃·ZnCl₂·5THF. ZnCl₂ (2.41 g, 17.6 mmol) and VCl₃ (2.78 g, 17.6 mmol) were refluxed in 75 mL of THF for 6 h. After about 1 h the initial slurry became an orange solution but it turned green-brown after 6 h. This solution is filtered hot and allowed to cool overnight, during which time a green solid precipitates. This solid is filtered and vacuum-dried, yielding 6.5 g (56%) of VCl₃. ZnCl₂·5THF. The yield can be increased by concentration of the filtrate. Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{40}Cl_5O_5VZn:$ Cl, 27.10; V, 7.79; Zn, 9.99. Found: Cl, 27.03; V, 8.10; Zn, 10.28. Vanadium oxidation state: 2.97. IR (cm⁻¹, Nujol): ν_{COC} frequencies of THF at 830 (s, br), 880 (m), 997 (s), 1033 (m). Reflectance spectrum (nm): 475, 685

Crystallographic Study. A suitable fragment of crystals grown by evaporation of a THF solution of VCl₃·ZnCl₂·5THF under a flow of Table I. Crystal Data for $[VCl_2(THF)_2(H_2O)_2]$ -[ZnCl₁(THF)] THF

empirical formula	$[VC_8H_{20}Cl_2O_4][ZnCl_3(THF)]$ ·THF
color of cryst	green
cryst dimens, mm	$0.12 \times 0.12 \times 0.14$
space group	$P\overline{1}$
cell dimens (-162 °C,	
30 reflens)	
<i>a</i> , Å	8.330 (3)
<i>b,</i> Å	20.222 (9)
<i>c,</i> Å	8.161 (2)
α , deg	98.50 (2)
β, deg	97.82 (2)
γ , deg	99.85 (2)
molecules/cell	2
vol, ų	1321.05
calcd density, g/cm ³	1.554
wavelength, Å	0.71069
mol wt	618.04
linear abs coeff, cm ⁻¹	18.1
no. of unique intens	3455
no. with $F > 3.00\sigma(F)$	2874
R(F)	0.0320
$R_{\mathbf{w}}(F)$	0.0336
goodness of fit for the	0.817
last cycle	
max $\Delta \sigma$ for last cycle	0.05

argon was cleaved, under nitrogen, to obtain a nearly equidimensional fragment. The sample was then affixed to a glass fiber on a goniometer head and transferred to the goniostat, where it was cooled to -162°C for characterization and data collection.

A systematic search of a limited hemisphere of reciprocal space located a set of diffraction maxima with no systematic absences or symmetry, indicating the triclinic space group P1 or $P\overline{1}$. Statistical tests and the successful solution and refinement of the structure confirmed the PI centrosymmetric choice.

Diffraction data were collected ($6^{\circ} \le 2\theta \le 45^{\circ}$, $-162 \ ^{\circ}C$) and processed according to our usual procedures.⁵ Characteristics of the data collection appear in Table I. Considerable difficulty was encountered in solving the structure. As seen in the data, there is a pseudocentering that is explained in the final solution. Initial attempts to phase the data using direct methods (MULTAN 78) led to solutions with unrealistically short metal-metal separations (ca. 2.1 Å). In addition, the Patterson map was ambiguous, with one large

- (1) Gravens, P. D.; Bottrill, N.; Kelland, J. W.; McMeeking, J. In Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry"; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1982; Vol. 3, p 475.
- Bouma, R. J.; Teuben, J. H.; Beukma, W. R.; Bansemer, R. L.; Huffman, J. C.; Caulton, K. G. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 23, 2715.
- (3) Folting, K.; Huffman, J. C.; Bansemer, R. L.; Caulton, K. G. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 23, 3289.
- Pray, A. R. Inorg. Synth. 1957, 5, 153.
- For general sample handling and data collection and processing meth-(5)ods, see: Huffman, J. C.; Lewis, L. N.; Caulton, K. G. Inorg. Chem. 1980, 19, 2755.

[†]Indiana University

[‡]Phillips Petroleum Co.



Figure 1. ORTEP stereo drawing of the hydrogen-bonded chain of $[VCl_2(THF)_2(H_2O)_2][ZnCl_3(THF)]$. The center of symmetry at V(10) continues the hydrogen bonding at the top with bonds to two chlorines (Cl(3)' and Cl(4)') of the next ZnCl_3(THF)⁻. The center of symmetry of V(18) continues the hydrogen bonding at the bottom with a bond to Cl(2)' of the next ZnCl_3(THF)⁻.

Table II.	Fractional	Coordinates ^a	ⁱ and Isotr	opic Thermal
Parameter	s ^b for [VC	$l_2(THF)_2(H_2)$	O)2] [ZnC]	l₃(THF)]∙THF

			-	
				$10B_{iso}$,
	10 ⁴ x	10⁴ <i>y</i>	10 ⁴ z	Å2
Zn(1)	7976 (1)	2473.7 (2)	10073 (1)	23
Cl(2)	7923 (1)	3409 (1)	8945 (2)	28
Cl(3)	6711 (1)	1495 (1)	8361 (1)	27
Cl(4)	10473 (1)	2398 (1)	11397 (1)	29
O(5)	6548 (5)	2564 (2)	11882 (5)	48
C(6)	5195 (7)	2043 (3)	12066 (7)	33
C(7)	4908 (12)	2264 (5)	13749 (9)	97
C(8)	5419 (12)	2990 (5)	14099 (12)	99
C(9)	6773 (7)	3160 (3)	13171 (7)	31
V(10)	10000*	0*	10000*	15
Cl(11)	12270 (1)	510(1)	12062(1)	22
O(12)	8471 (3)	119 (1)	11685 (3)	19
C(13)	7059(7)	-401 (3)	11886 (7)	29
C(14)	6543 (7)	-132 (3)	13485 (7)	39
C(15)	7546 (11)	535 (3)	14110 (9)	67
C(16)	8557 (7)	736 (3)	12903 (6)	31
O(17)	9810 (4)	928 (2)	9401 (4)	19
V(18)	5000*	5000*	0*	15
Cl(19)	7020(1)	5069 (1)	2327 (1)	20
O(20)	3240 (3)	4880 (1)	1453 (3)	18
C(21)	1671 (5)	4376 (2)	1006 (6)	25
C(22)	920 (6)	4419 (3)	2585 (6)	28
C(23)	1570 (5)	5151 (3)	3439 (6)	25
C(24)	3329 (5)	5270 (3)	3140 (5)	25
O(25)	5200 (4)	6030 (1)	480 (4)	18
O(26)	7124 (4)	6937 (2)	2861 (4)	28
C(27)	7222 (7)	7610(3)	2452 (7)	31
C(28)	8630 (7)	8054 (3)	3702 (7)	32
C(29)	8656 (6)	6901 (3)	3870 (7)	33
C(30)	9783(6)	7582 (3)	4041 (7)	29

^a Parameters marked by an asterisk are fixed by symmetry. ^b Isotropic values are calculated by using the formula given by: Hamilton, W. C. Acta Cryst. 1959, 12, 609.

peak in a general position and numerous others due to other interactions. The structure was finally solved by dropping all zonal data and rephasing. Once the two metal atoms at centers of symmetry were located, all remaining atoms were easily located in difference Fouriers. All hydrogen atoms were located and refined isotropically. A final difference Fourier was featureless, the largest peak being 0.27

Table III. Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) for $[VCl_2(THF)_2(H_2O)_2][ZnCl_3(THF)]$ ·THF

Zn-Cl(2) Zn-Cl(3) Zn-Cl(4) Zn-O(5) V(10)-Cl(11) V(10)-O(12)	2.2265 (15) 2.2467 (16) 2.2507 (16) 2.025 (3) 2.3292 (14) 2.0135 (26)	O(17)-H(17) Cl(4)-H(17) O(17)-H(18) Cl(3)-H(18) O(25)-H(27) O(26)-H(27)	0.85 (6 2.26 (8 0.77 (5 2.32 (4 0.82 (6 1.82 (9
V(10) = O(17) V(18) = O(19)	2.034 (3)	O(25) - H(28)	0.89 (5
V(18) - O(20)	2.3301(13) 2.0125(26)	CI(2) = H(20)	2.20 (4
V(18) - O(25)	2.035 (3)	mean C-C	1.477
Cl(2)-Z	n-Cl(3)	114.87 (6	5)
Cl(2)-Z	n-Cl(4)	114.85 (5)
Cl(2)-Z:	n-O(5)	104.14 (1	[1]
C1(3)-Z	n-Cl(4)	111.90 (3	5)
Cl(3)-Z	n-O(5)	103.83 (1	10)
Cl(4)-Zi	n-O(5)	105.82 (1	(4)
CI(11)-	V(10)-O(12)	89.62 (9))
CI(11)-	V(10)-O(17)	89.97 (1	1)
O(12) - V	V(10) - O(17)	89.66 (1	1)
CI(19) - C	V(18) - O(20)	90.08 (8	S)
O(20)	V(18) - O(25)	90.18 (1	1)
$\frac{0(20)-1}{2n(1)}$	(18) - O(25)	89.22 (1	1)
2n(1) - 0 7n(1) - 0	(5) - C(0)	124.7 (3)	77
C(6) = O(5) = C(9)	111 4 (4)	57)
V(10)-C	(12) = C(13)	125.82 (2)	25)
V(10)-C	(12) - C(16)	125.62 (2	25)
C(13)-C	P(12) - C(16)	125.03(2 108.5(3)	
V(18)-C	(20) - C(21)	125.48 (2	24)
V(18)-C	D(20) - C(24)	125.30 (2	24)
C(21)-C	(20)-C(24)	109.2 (3)	

 $e/Å^3$. No attempt was made to correct for absorption. The results of the structure determination appear in Tables II and III and Figure 1. Additional data has been deposited as Supplementary material.

Subsequent examination of this recrystallized material revealed electronic spectral transitions (by reflectance) at 445 and 675 nm.

Powder Diffraction. A comparison of the X-ray powder diagrams of authentic (i.e. anhydrous) VCl₃·ZnCl₂·5THF and TiCl₃·ZnCl₂·5THF (shown previously³ by single-crystal X-ray diffraction to be [*trans*-TiCl₂(THF)₄][ZnCl₃(THF)]) shows them to be isomorphous. The diffraction data to $2\theta = 24^{\circ}$ are shown in Table IV.

VCl ₃ ·ZnCl ₂ ·5THF		TiCl ₃ ·ZnCl ₂ ·5THF		
$\overline{d_{\text{obsd}}, \text{\AA}}$	rel intens	d _{obsd} , Å	rel intens	
10.7	13	10.9	6	
9.30	7	9.40	7	
8.34	6	8.34	6	
7.43	70	7.49	100	
7.02	7	7.02	11	
6.27	50	6.32	79	
5.40	100	5.50	76	
5.18	9	5.24	16	
5.09	38	5.15	33	
4.50	7	4.52	17	
4.37	53	4.43	39	
4.27	13	4.30	41	
4.17	92	4.23	85	
4.04	9	4.07	14	
3.75	67	3.80	69	

Results

Synthesis. The reaction of reddish purple VCl₃ with equimolar ZnCl₂ in refluxing THF occurs via a color change to green and (upon cooling) deposition of a microcrystalline light green solid. Elemental analysis and oxidation-state determination are consistent with the formulation VCl₃·Zn-Cl₂·5THF. The infrared spectrum shows two asymmetric and two symmetric $\nu(COC)$ stretching vibrations, indicative of THF in two distinct environments. We have reported³ the preparation and structure of TiCl₃·ZnCl₂·5THF, finding it to be composed to trans-TiCl₂(THF)₄⁺ and ZnCl₃(THF)⁻. An X-ray powder pattern of VCl₃·ZnCl₂·5THF shows it to be isomorphous with $TiCl_3$ ·Zn Cl_2 ·5THF; the *d* spacings out to at least 40° in 2 θ are very similar, with only the diffracted intensities differing between the two compounds. We conclude that the vanadium compound reported here contains trans- $VCl_2(THF)_4^+$ and $ZnCl_3(THF)^-$, thus explaining the two THF types indicated by the infrared spectrum. The infrared spectra of MCl_3 ·Zn Cl_2 ·5THF (M = Ti and V) are superimposable on one another.

Structural Study. The isomorphism of TiCl₃·ZnCl₂·5THF and the vanadium analogue was only established after the single-crystal X-ray study³ of TiCl₃·ZnCl₂·5THF had been completed. Prior to that time, attempts were being made simultaneously to grow single crystals of both the Ti and V compounds. An attempt to grow crystals from a THF solution of VCl₃·ZnCl₂·5THF by solvent evaporation under a slow flow of cylinder argon yielded large green plates. Since these plates were *not* isomorphous with TiCl₃·ZnCl₂·5THF, a decision was made to proceed with a single-crystal structural determination in order to establish the nature of this new solid.

The X-ray diffraction study establishes that the compound that was crystallized is comprised of equal amounts of alltrans-VCl₂(THF)₂(H₂O)₂⁺, ZnCl₃(THF)⁻, and uncoordinated THF units (Figure 1). $ZnCl_3(THF)^-$ lies in a general position, while two crystallographically independent VCl₂(THF)₂- $(H_2O)_2^+$ units each lie on crystallographic centers of symmetry; this special position decreases the number of such cations of either type (V(10) and V(18)) such that the total numbers of vanadium and zinc centers are equal. There are two uncoordinated ("lattice") THF molecules for every two vanadiums, but these are both associated with only one of the two crystallographically independent $VCl_2(THF)_2(H_2O)_2^+$ ions. This "association" takes the form of a hydrogen bond to one of the two protons on each of the water molecules coordinated to V18; these serve to cap off or terminate hydrogen bonding in the direction perpendicular to a chain of hydrogen bonding portrayed in the vertical direction in Figure 1 involving all of the remaining O-H bonds in the repeat unit. These hydrogen bonds link the various VCl₂(THF)₂(H₂O)₂⁺ cations by employing all three chlorines of the ZnCl₃(THF)⁻ ions, which interleave the V(III) cations. Cl(2) hydrogen bonds to the remaining proton on water O(25), while Cl(3) and Cl(4) hydrogen bond to both protons of water O(17). In short, all hydroxyl protons are involved in hydrogen bonding, but none of the V–Cl ligands serve as donors in such bonds. The donors are three zinc-bound chlorides and the "lattice" THF oxygen, with all four O–(H)···Cl distances being in the range 3.066-3.091 Å; the O–(H)···Cl distance is 2.608 Å. The refined hydrogen atom positions in these three-center interactions are highly asymmetric; the close hydrogen association is uniformly with the water oxygen. The hydrogen bond angles O–H–acceptor are all either 161 or 167°.

The ZnCl₃(THF)⁻ ion exhibits a systematic (threefold symmetric) deviation from tetrahedral symmetry (average values \angle Cl-Zn-Cl = 113.87°, \angle O-Zn-Cl = 104.60°) in response to the presence of the THF ligand. The THF oxygen (O(5)) is only 0.006 Å from the plane of its three attached atoms and thus coordinates in an effectively planar fashion.

The two independent V-Cl distances are identical within 1σ . The same holds for the two V-OH₂ distances and also the $V-OC_4H_8$ distances. All *cis*-(ligand)-V-(ligand) angles differ negligibly from 90°, yielding octahedral geometry about both metals in the *all-trans*-VCl₂(THF)₂(H₂O)₂⁺ cations. The THF ligands in each cation (as judged by the C-O-C plane) are rotated by 44° from the corresponding V-Cl line, seemingly to minimize cis ligand-ligand repulsions. This steric argument is reinforced by the observation that the (smaller) water molecules are not staggered with respect to the cis ligands but in fact eclipse the V-Cl bonds. The coordinated water molecules are pyramidal at oxygen in spite of their involvement in hydrogen bonding. In contrast, the THF oxygens are essentially coplanar (± 0.01 Å) with their attached atoms. As we have argued previously,³ this is suggestive of some degree of four-electron donation by THF.

The three independent THF rings determined here have envelope (on V(10) and Zn) and twist (on V(18)) conformations.

The unexpected appearance of coordinated water in the sample crystallized for X-ray diffraction was supported by infrared spectroscopic data (Nujol mull) on these same crystals. While these crystals show four C-O-C stretching vibrations that duplicate those of VCl₃·ZnCl₂·5THF, they also show a broad (full width at half height $\Delta \nu_{1/2} = 80 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) HOH bending absorption centered at 1625 cm⁻¹ and an extremely broad ($\Delta v_{1/2} = 500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) stretching vibration with a flat maximum from 3100 to 3400 cm⁻¹. The breadth and low energy of the stretching motion is particularly diagnostic of hydrogen bonding.^{6,7} By way of contrast, authentic VCl₃. ZnCl₂-5THF shows no absorption around 1630 cm⁻¹ and none above 3100 cm⁻¹. The presence of water vapor in the cylinder argon appears to us as the probable origin of the aquo ligands. We have observed that microcrystalline VCl₃·ZnCl₂·5THF is highly hydroscopic, absorbing water and turning to liquid after 1 h in the air.

Discussion

Zinc(II) chloride behaves toward VCl₃ in THF as a halide-abstracting reagent, forming [*trans*-VCl₂(THF)₄⁺]-[ZnCl₃(THF)⁻], rather than forming any halide-bridged mixed-metal adduct. Besides the X-ray isomorphism of this compound to TiCl₃-ZnCl₂-5THF, these Ti and V analogues have identical infrared C-O-C stretching frequencies. These frequencies are markedly different from those of *mer*-MCl₃-(THF)₃ (M = Ti and V),^{2,3} and infrared spectra provide a

⁽⁶⁾ Hamilton, W. C.; Ibers, J. A. "Hydrogen Bonding in Solids"; W. A. Benjamin: New York, 1968.

⁽⁷⁾ Olovsson, I.; Jonsson, P.-G. In "The Hydrogen Bond"; Schuster, P., Zundel, G., Sandorfy, C., Eds.; North Holland: Amsterdam, 1976; p 393.

useful rapid fingerprint for distinguishing these compounds from each other, and thus from simple physical mixtures of $MCl_3(THF)_3 + ZnCl_2(THF)_2$.

The hygroscopic character of $[VCl_2(THF)_4][ZnCl_3(THF)]$ is responsible for the unanticipated formation and crystallization of $[VCl_2(THF)_2(H_2O)_2][ZnCl_3(THF)]$. It is noteworthy that this limited incorporation of water amounts to replacement of two of the four THF ligands coordinated to vanadium with retention of the *trans*- $VCl_2O_4^+$ coordination environment.

The trans-VCl₂O₄ coordination environment was assigned by Horner and Tyree⁸ to the compounds VCl₃·6H₂O, VCl₃· 4H₂O, and KVCl₄·6H₂O, on the basis of the average ligand field evident in the ${}^{3}T_{1g}(F) \rightarrow {}^{3}T_{2g}$ and ${}^{3}T_{1g}(F) \rightarrow {}^{3}T_{1g}(P)$ optical transitions. A similar conclusion, based on electrical conductance, magnetic susceptibility, and ligand field transition energies, was reached by Casey and Clark⁹ for the series of alcohol complexes VCl₃·4ROH. The electronic spectra reported by these workers match well the spectra reported here for VCl₃·ZnCl₂·5THF and VCl₃·ZnCl₂·4THF·2H₂O, which are themselves quite similar. A recent examination¹⁰ of Cs₃VCl₆·4H₂O, including a diffraction study, shows this to contain *trans*·VCl₂(H₂O)₄⁺.

Hydrogen bonding dominates the packing of the ionic units in solid [VCl₂(THF)₂(H₂O)₂][ZnCl₃(THF)]·THF and is responsible for the adoption of a space group distinct from that seen in the Ti and V compounds MCl₃·ZnCl₂·5THF. The trans disposition of water molecules in the cation leads naturally to one-dimensional hydrogen-bonded chains, and the limitation that ZnCl₃(THF)⁻ forms only three hydrogen bonds (i.e. three Cl⁻ sites) would leave one water hydrogen unused. However, the general principle that all acidic hydrogens be involved in hydrogen bonding^{6,7} rigidly fixes the stoichiometry as that of a THF monosolvate. The observed O-acceptor distances (O-H···Cl from 3.066 to 3.091 Å and O-H···O = 2.608 Å) are each near the short end of typical⁷ hydrogen bond distances. The near-linearity at hydrogen in these interactions is also typical. The possibility of symmetrical positioning of the hydrogen is only realized for "very short" O...H-O bonds, in the range of O-O separations of 2.4-2.5 Å. Such is not the case in $[VCl_2(THF)_2(H_2O)_2][ZnCl_3(THF)]$ ·THF.

The ZnCl₃(THF)⁻ ion found here closely duplicates Zn-Cl distances and interligand angles found in the absence of hydrogen bonding.³ A major difference is found, however, in the Zn-O distance, being here 2.025 (3) Å, or 0.096 Å (11 σ) shorter than that seen earlier³ (2.121 (8) Å). This distinction appears to be related to the fact that the donor oxygen is planar here, whereas it is highly pyramidal in [TiCl₂(THF)₄][Zn-Cl₃(THF)]. Since numerous Zn(II) complexes exceed 18 valence electrons, it is permissible to attribute shortening of the Zn-O bond to O→Zn π donation.

The V-Cl distances in $[VCl_2(THF)_2(H_2O)_2][ZnCl_3(TH-F)]$ -THF are identical with the corresponding distances in *mer*-VCl_3(THF)_3.¹¹ In contrast, the average V-OC_4H₈ distance reported here, 2.013 (3) Å, is 0.048 Å (8 σ) shorter than the corresponding value in *mer*-VCl_3(THF)_3, 2.061 (8) Å. It is noteworthy that, in *all-trans*-VCl_2(THF)_2(H_2O)_2⁺, the V-OC_4H₈ distance is 0.022 Å (7 σ) shorter than the V-OH₂ distance, suggesting that THF is a better π donor than hydrogen-bonded water.

Two points warrant mention in regard to the structure of $[VCl_2(THF)_2(H_2O)_2][ZnCl_3(THF)]$ •THF. First, the water molecules preferentially bind to V(III), not Zn(II). Second, we have a repeat appearance of the ZnCl_3(THF)⁻ ion, seen before in $[TiCl_2(,tHF)_4][ZnCl_3(THF)]$. In contrast, the $V_2(\mu$ -Cl)_3(THF)_6⁺ ion crystallizes from THF with the dimeric Zn_2Cl_6²⁻ anion.^{2,11} It is probable that the available cation precipitates with a zinc anion of comparable size (i.e. (monomer)⁺(monomer)⁻, (dimer)⁺(dimer)⁻). The consistent pattern emerging³ is that THF promotes separation of cation and anion in the interaction of early-transition-metal chlorides with zinc chloride. Molecular adducts, $M(\mu$ -Cl)_2Zn^{II}Cl_m-(THF)_n, are not isolated.

Acknowledgment is made to the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for support for this research. We thank Stauffer Chemical Co. for material support of work at Indiana University and Scott Horn for skilled technical assistance.

Supplementary Material Available: Listings of hydrogen positional parameters, anisotropic thermal parameters, C-C and C-O distances, and observed and calculated structure factors (21 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

⁽⁸⁾ Horner, S. M.; Tyree, S. Y. Inorg. Chem. 1964, 3, 1173.

 ⁽⁹⁾ Casey, A. T.; Clark, R. J. H. Inorg. Chem. 1969, 8, 1216.
(10) McCarthy, P. J.; Lauffenburger, J. C.; Schreiner, M. M.; Rohrer, D.

⁽¹⁰⁾ McCarthy, P. J.; Lauffenburger, J. C.; Schreiner, M. M.; Rohrer, D. C. Inorg. Chem. 1981, 20, 1571.

⁽¹¹⁾ Cotton, F. A.; Duraj, S. A.; Extine, M. W.; Lewis, G. E.; Roth, W. J.; Schmulbach, C. D.; Schwotzer, W. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 1377.